arXiv:1209.5412v2 [math.RT] 28 Sep 2012

# ON SOME SUBVARIETIES OF THE CARTESIAN POWERS OF A SEMISIMPLE ALGEBRA RELATED TO A PARABOLIC SUBALGEBRA

MOUCHIRA ZAITER

Abstract. In this note, we describe some desingularizations of some subvarieties of the cartesian powers of a semisimple Lie algebra of finite dimension.

## Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notations 2
3. On the variety $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ 3
4. On the variety $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ 10
References 14

## 1. Introduction

The basic field $\Bbbk$ is an algebraic closed field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a semisimple Lie algebra of finite dimension and let $G$ be its adjoint group. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{h}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $\mathfrak{b}$ and let $N_G(\mathfrak{h})$ be the normalizer of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $G$. Let denote by $\mathfrak{p}$ a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{l}$ its reductive factor containing $\mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ its nilpotent radical and $\mathbf{P}$ its normalizer in $G$. Let set:

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k)} := \left\{(x_1,\dots,x_k)\in\mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g\in G \text{ such that } (g(x_1),\dots,g(x_k))\in\mathfrak{p}^k\right\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)} := \left\{(x_1,\dots,x_k)\in\mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g\in G \text{ such that } (g(x_1),\dots,g(x_k))\in\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\right\}.$$

For $X$ a $G$-variety, we denote by $G\times_{\mathbf{P}} X$ the quotient of $G\times X$ under the right action of $\mathbf{P}$ given by $(g,x).p := \left(gp, p^{-1}.x\right)$. The main result of this note is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *(i) For $k\geqslant 2$, $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is not normal.*

*(ii) For $k\geqslant 2$, the canonical morphism $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ is projective and factorizes through a desingularization of an affine variety $X^{(k)}$ and the morphism $X^{(k)}\to\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ is finite and pure in the sense of* [2].

For $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{b}$, this theorem is given in [3], a joint work with J-Y. Charbonnel. Moreover, in this case, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ is normal and has a rational singularities. In fact, this note is the first step to a generalization of some results of [3].

---

*Date*: October 1, 2012.

Let $S(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S(\mathfrak{h})$ be the symmetric algebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ respectively and let $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ be the subalgebra of $W$-invariant elements of $S(\mathfrak{h})$, where $W$ is the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. In section 3, we use a variety $\chi_0$ introduced in [3], which is the closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ such that its algebra of regular functions $\Bbbk[\chi_0]$ equals $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})$, and we introduce the varieties $\chi := \chi_0 // W_{\mathfrak{l}}$, where $W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is the Weyl group of the Levi factor $\mathfrak{l}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. We prove that $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of a subvariety $\chi^{(k)}$ of $\chi^k$.

In section 4, we introduce the variety $X := Spec\mathcal{A}$ and $X^{(k)}$ a subvariety of $X^k$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the integral closure of the algebra of regular functions $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u})]$ of $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u})$ in the field of rational functions $\Bbbk(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\rm u})$ of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}$ and we prove that $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}^k$ is a desingularization of $X^{(k)}$.

## 2. Notations

We consider the diagonal action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}^k$. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the root system of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathcal{R}_+$ be the positive root system of $\mathcal{R}$ defined by $\mathfrak{b}$. The Weyl group of $\mathcal{R}$ is denoted by $W$ and the basis of $\mathcal{R}_+$ is denoted by $\Pi$. Let $\Omega$ be the Richardson orbit of $\mathfrak{p}$ and let $\mathfrak{p}'_{\rm u} := \Omega \cap \mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}$. Set:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{l}} := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{R} \mid \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subset \mathfrak{l}\}$$
$$\mathfrak{p}_- := \mathfrak{l} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_+ \setminus \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{l}}} \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}.$$

Let $W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the Weyl group of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and let $\mathbf{P}_-$ be the normalizer of $\mathfrak{p}_-$ in $G$. Denote by $\mathbf{L}$ the identity component of the normalizer of $\mathfrak{l}$ in $G$ and denote by $\mathbf{P}_{\rm u}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{-,{\rm u}}$ the unipotent radicals of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}_-$ respectively. We use the following notations:

- All topological terms refer to the Zariski topology. For $Y$ an open subset of the algebraic variety $X$, $Y$ is called a big open subset if the codimension of $X \setminus Y$ in $X$ is at least 2. The algebra of regular functions on $X$ is denoted by $\Bbbk[X]$. When $X$ is irreducible the field of rational functions on $X$ is denoted by $\Bbbk(X)$.
- if $G \times A \longrightarrow A$ is an action of $G$ on the algebra $A$, denote by $A^G$ the subalgebra of the $G$-invariant elements of $A$,
- for $i = 1, \ldots, {\rm rk}\mathfrak{g}$, the 2-order polarizations of $p_i$ of bidegree $(d_i - n, n)$, denoted by $p_i^{(n)}$, are the unique elements in $(S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S(\mathfrak{g}))^G$ satisfying the following relation
$$p_i(ax+by) = \sum_{n=0}^{d_i} a^{d_i-n} b^n p_i^{(n)}(x,y),$$
for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$,
- $< .,. >$ is the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$,
- for $i \in \{1, \ldots, {\rm rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$, $\varepsilon_i$ is the element of $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ defined by
$$< \varepsilon_i(x), v >= p'_i(x)(v), \ \forall x, v \in \mathfrak{g},$$
where $p'_i(x)$ is the differential of $p_i$ at $x$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots {\rm rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$,
- for $i \in \{1, \ldots, {\rm rk}\mathfrak{g}\}$ and for $m \in \{0, \ldots, d_i - 1\}$, the 2-polarizations of $\varepsilon_i$ of bidegree $(d_i - m - 1, m)$ denoted by $\varepsilon_i^{(m)}$ are the unique elements in $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the following relation
$$\varepsilon_i(ax+by) = \sum_{n=0}^{d_i-1} a^{d_i-n-1} b^n \varepsilon_i^{(n)}(x,y),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$,

- for $(x,y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, $V_{x,y}$ is the space generated by the set
$$\left\{\varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y), i \in \{1, \dots \mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}\}, m \in \{0, \dots, d_i - 1\}\right\},$$
- $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is the set of regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$,
- for $\mathfrak{a}$ a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{reg}} := \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{reg}}$,
- for $x$ in $\mathfrak{g}$, $G^x$ is the centralizer of $x$ in $G$,
- for $\mathfrak{a}$ a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and for $x$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{a}^x$ is the centralizer of $x$ in $\mathfrak{a}$,
- for $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k$, $P_{(x_1,\dots,x_k)}$ is the space of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_k$,
- $\varpi$ is the canonical morphism from $\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathfrak{l}$,
- for $x$ in $\mathfrak{p}$, $\tilde{x}$ is the image of $x$ by $\varpi$,
- $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} := \{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \mid P_{x,y} \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{reg}} \text{ and } \dim P_{x,y} = 2\}$,
- $(e,h,f)$ is a principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triplet
- for $X$ an algebraic variety, $\mathcal{O}_X$ is its structural sheaf, $\Bbbk[X]$ is the algebra of regular functions on $X$ and $\Bbbk(X)$ is the field of rational functions on $X$ when $X$ is irreducible.

The following Lemmas are well known:

**Lemma 2.** *Let $P$ and $Q$ be parabolic subgroups of $G$ such that $P$ is contained in $Q$. Let $X$ be a $Q$-variety and let $Y$ be a closed subset of $X$, invariant under $P$. Then $Q.Y$ is a closed subset of $X$. Moreover, the canonical map from $Q \times_P Y$ to $Q.Y$ is a projective morphism.*

*Proof.* Since $P$ and $Q$ are parabolic subgroups of $G$ and since $P$ is contained in $Q$, $Q/P$ is a projective variety. Let denote by $Q \times_P X$ and $Q \times_P Y$ the quotients of $Q \times X$ and $Q \times Y$ under the right action of $P$ given by $(g,x).p := (gp, p^{-1}.x)$. Let $g \mapsto \bar{g}$ be the quotient map from $Q$ to $Q/P$. Since $X$ is a $Q$-variety, the map

$$\begin{aligned} Q \times X &\rightarrow Q/P \times X \\ (g,x) &\mapsto (\bar{g}, g.x) \end{aligned}$$

defines through the quotient an isomorphism from $Q \times_P X$ to $Q/P \times X$. Since $Y$ is a $P$-invariant closed subset of $X$, $Q \times_P Y$ is a closed subset of $Q \times_P X$ and its image by the above isomorphism equals $Q/P \times Q.Y$. Hence $Q.Y$ is a closed subset of $X$ since $Q/P$ is a projective variety. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Q \times_P Y \arrow[r] \arrow[dr] & Q/P \times Q.Y \arrow[d] \\ & Q.Y \end{tikzcd}$$

one deduces that the map $Q \times_P Y \rightarrow Q.Y$ is a projective morphism. ☐

**Acknowledgements**. I would like to thank Jean-Yves Charbonnel for his advice and help and for his rigorous attention to this work.

## 3. On the variety $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$

### 3.1. On parabolic subalgebras.

**Lemma 3.** *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an algebraic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

*(i) Let suppose that $\mathfrak{a}$ contains $\mathfrak{g}^x$ for all $x$ in a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{a}$ and let suppose that $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ is not empty. Then $V_{x,y}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{a}$ for all $(x,y)$ in $\mathfrak{a} \times \mathfrak{a}$.*

*(ii) Let suppose that* $\mathfrak{a}$ *contains a Cartan subalgebra of* $\mathfrak{g}$*. Then* $V_{x,y}$ *is contained in* $\mathfrak{a}$ *for all* $(x,y)$ *in* $\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a}$*.*

*Proof.* (i) By hypothesis, for all $x$ in a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{a}$, $x$ is a regular element and $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is contained in $\mathfrak{a}$. So by [7] Theorem 9, $\varepsilon_1(x),\dots,\varepsilon_l(x)$ belong to $\mathfrak{a}$ for all $x$ in a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{a}$ and hence for all $x$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ by continuity. As a result, for all $(x,y)$ in $\mathfrak{a}\times\mathfrak{a}$, $\left\{\varepsilon_i^{(m)}(x,y), i\in\{1,\dots,l\}, m\in\{0,\dots,d_i-1\}\right\}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{a}$, whence the assertion.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ contained in $\mathfrak{a}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is an algebraic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, all semisimple element of $\mathfrak{a}$ is conjugate under the adjoint group of $\mathfrak{a}$ to an element of $\mathfrak{c}$. Hence for all regular semisimple element of $\mathfrak{g}$, belonging to $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is contained in $\mathfrak{a}$. As a result, the assertion is a consequence of (i) since the subset of regular semisimple elements of $\mathfrak{g}$, belonging to $\mathfrak{a}$, is a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{a}$. □

**Corollary 4.** *For all* $(x,y)$ *in* $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$*,* $V_{x,y}$ *is contained in* $\mathfrak{p}$*. In particular, for all* $(x,y)$ *in a nonempty open subset of* $\mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{b}$*,* $V_{x,y}=\mathfrak{b}$*.*

*Proof.* Since $\mathfrak{h}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}$, for all $(x,y)$ in $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$, $V_{x,y}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}$ by Lemma 3, (ii). Since $(h,e)$ belongs to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\cap\mathfrak{b}\times\mathfrak{b}$ is a nonempty open subset, whence the corollary. □

**Lemma 5.** *Set:*

$$\begin{array}{lll} R_{\mathfrak{p}} & := & \{x\in\mathfrak{g}_{\rm reg}\cap\mathfrak{p} \mid \varpi(x)\in\mathfrak{l}_{\rm reg}\} \\ R'_{\mathfrak{p}} & := & \{x\in R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{g}^x\cap\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}=\{0\}\}. \end{array}$$

*(i) For all* $x$ *in* $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$*,* $\varpi(\mathfrak{g}^x)=\mathfrak{l}^{\varpi(x)}$ *if and only if* $\mathfrak{g}^x\cap\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}=\{0\}$*.*

*(ii) The subset* $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *is open in* $\mathfrak{p}$*.*

*(iii) For all* $(x,y)$ *in* $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$*,* $V_{x,y}$ *is contained in* $V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}+\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}$*.*

*(iv) For all* $(x,y)$ *in* $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}\times\mathfrak{p}$*,* $\varpi(V_{x,y})=V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}$*.*

*Proof.* (i) Let $x$ be in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By Lemma 3 (ii), $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is a Cartan subalgebra contained in $\mathfrak{p}$. Since $\varpi$ is a morphism of Lie algebra, $\varpi(\mathfrak{g}^x)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{l}^{\varpi(x)}$. Furthermore, $\dim\varpi(\mathfrak{g}^x)=\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}^x\cap\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}=\{0\}$, whence the assertion since $\mathfrak{l}$ has rank $\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}$.

(ii) For $x$ regular semisimple in $\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is a Cartan subalgebra contained in $\mathfrak{p}$. As a result, $\mathfrak{g}^x\cap\mathfrak{p}_{\rm u}=\{0\}$. So by (i), $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are not empty since for such a $x$, $\varpi(x)$ is a regular semisimple element of $\mathfrak{l}$. The subset $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is open since the subsets of regular elements of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ are open in $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ respectively. The map $x\mapsto\mathfrak{g}^x$ from $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to the Grassmanian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is regular. So $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{p}$.

(iii) Let $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ be the submodule of elements $\varphi$ of $\mathrm{S}(\mathfrak{l})\otimes_{\Bbbk}\mathfrak{l}$ such that $[\varphi(x),x]=0$, for all $x$ in $\mathfrak{l}$. Then $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ is a free module of rank $\mathrm{rk}\mathfrak{g}$ according to [5]. Denote by $\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_l$ a basis of $L_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and denote by $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ the subset of elements $x$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\varpi(x)$ is in $\mathfrak{l}_{\rm reg}$. According to [10], $\mathfrak{l}_{\rm reg}$ is a big open subset of $\mathfrak{l}$. So $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ is a big open subset of $\mathfrak{p}$. For $x$ in $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ and for $i=1,\dots,l$, $\varpi\circ\varepsilon_i(x)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{l}^{\varpi(x)}$. So there exists a unique element $(a_{i,1}(x),\dots,a_{i,l}(x))$ of $\Bbbk^l$ such that

$$\varpi\circ\varepsilon_i(x)=a_{i,1}(x)\varphi_1\circ\varpi(x)+\cdots+a_{i,l}(x)\varphi_l\circ\varpi(x).$$

The functions $a_{i,1},\dots,a_{i,l}$ so defined on $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ have regular extensions to $\mathfrak{p}$ since $\mathfrak{p}$ is normal and since $R_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{l}}$ is a big open subset of $\mathfrak{p}$. As a result, for all $(x,y)$ in $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$ and for all $(a,b)$ in $\Bbbk^2$, $\varpi\circ\varepsilon_i(ax+by)$ is a linear combination of the elements

$\varphi_1(ax+by), \ldots, \varphi_l(ax+by)$. Hence, by [1], $\varpi(V_{x,y})$ is contained in $V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}$ for all $(x,y)$ in $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$, whence the assertion.

(iv) Let $(x,y)$ be in $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}\times\mathfrak{p}$. For all $z$ in a nonempty open subset of $P_{x,y}$, $z$ belongs to $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$ since $x$ belongs to $R'_{\mathfrak{p}}$. So by (i), $\mathfrak{l}^{\varpi(z)}$ is contained in $\varpi(V_{x,y})$ for all $z$ in a nonempty open subset of $P_{x,y}$. As a result, according to [1], $V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}$ is contained in $\varpi(V_{x,y})$, whence the assertion by (iii). □

**Corollary 6.** *For all $(x,y)$ in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\cap\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$, $V_{x,y}=V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}+\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$.*

*Proof.* Since $(h,e)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\cap\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$ is a nonempty open subset of $\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$. Let $(x,y)$ be in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\cap R'_{\mathfrak{p}}\times\mathfrak{p}$. By Lemma 5 (iv), $\varpi(V_{x,y})=V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}$. Furthermore, $\dim V_{x,y}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ since $(x,y)$ belongs to $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is contained in $V_{x,y}$ and $\dim V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{l}}$ since $\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{l}}+\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$. According to Lemma 3 (ii), the map $(x,y)\mapsto V_{x,y}$ is a regular map from $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\cap\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$ to $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{g}}}(\mathfrak{p})$. So for all $(x,y)$ in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}\cap\mathfrak{p}\times\mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is contained in $V_{x,y}$ and $\dim\varpi(V_{x,y})=\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Furthermore, since $\varpi(V_{x,y})$ is contained in $V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}$ by Lemma 5 (iii) and since $\dim V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}\leqslant\mathrm{b}_{\mathfrak{l}}$, $V_{x,y}=V^{\mathfrak{l}}_{\varpi(x),\varpi(y)}+\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$. □

Set:

$$\mathcal{R}'_{+}:=\{\alpha\in\mathcal{R}_{+}\mid\mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}\subset\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\}.$$

Let $\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_l$ be in $\Pi$, let $s_i$ be the reflexion associated to $\beta_i$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,l\}$ and let $I$ be the set of $i\in\{1,\ldots,l\}$ such that $\beta_i\in\mathcal{R}'_{+}$.

**Lemma 7.** *Let $w$ be in $W$ and let $s_1\ldots s_p$ be a reduced decomposition of $w$.*

*(i) If $w\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{+}$, then $w\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$.*

*(ii) If $w\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{+}\cup\mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{l}}$, then $w\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$.*

*Proof.* (i) We proceed by induction on the lenght of $w$ denoted by $l(w)$. For $l(w)=1$, $w=s_j$ for some $j\in\{1,\ldots,l\}$. If $j\in I$, then $s_j(\beta_j)=-\beta_j$ but $w\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{+}$. Hence $j\notin I$ and $w\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Suppose the property true for $l(w)\leqslant p-1$. If $p\in I$,

$$s_1\ldots s_{p-1}(\beta_p)\in-\mathcal{R}_{+}$$

since $s_p(\beta_p)=-\beta_p$. By [9] Lemma 18.8.3, there exists $q\in\{1,\ldots,p-1\}$ such that

$$s_1\ldots s_p=s_1\ldots s_{q-1}s_{q+1}\ldots s_{p-1},$$

so that $l(w)\neq p$. Hence $p\notin I$. Then $s_p\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)=\mathcal{R}'_{+}$ and

$$s_1\ldots s_{p-1}\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)=w\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{+}.$$

By induction hypothesis,

$$s_1\ldots s_{p-1}\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

Hence $w\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ since $s_p\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$, whence the assertion.

(ii) Let $g_w$ be in $N_G(\mathfrak{h})$ a representative of $w$. Since $g_w(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\oplus\mathfrak{h})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}$, it is contained in $\mathfrak{b}'$ a Borel subalgebra contained in $\mathfrak{p}$, containing $\mathfrak{h}$. Then there exists $w'\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$, such that $g_{w'}(\mathfrak{b}')=\mathfrak{b}$, for some representative $g_{w'}$ of $w'$ in $N_G(\mathfrak{h})$. So $g_{w'}g_w(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\oplus\mathfrak{h})$ is contained in $\mathfrak{b}$ and $w'w\left(\mathcal{R}'_{+}\right)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{+}$. Hence, by (i), $w'w\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$, whence $w\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ since $w'\in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$. □

**Proposition 8.** *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ be two parabolic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}'$. If $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ are conjugate under $G$, then $\mathfrak{p}$=$\mathfrak{p}'$.*

*Proof.* We suppose that $\mathfrak{p}' = g(\mathfrak{p})$, for some $g$ in $G$. By Bruhat decomposition there exist $u, b \in \mathbf{B}$ and $w \in W$ such that $g = ug_wb$, where $g_w$ is a representative of $w$ in $N_G(\mathfrak{h})$. Since $g(\mathfrak{p})$ contains $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and since $u^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$, $ug_w(\mathfrak{p})$ and $g_w(\mathfrak{p})$ contains $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}$ contains $g_w^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$. Then $w^{-1}\left(\mathcal{R}'_+\right) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_+ \cup \mathcal{R}_{\mathfrak{l}}$. Hence, by Lemma 7 (ii), $w^{-1} \in W_{\mathfrak{l}}$, whence $g_w \in \mathbf{L}$ and $g \in \mathbf{P}$. □

3.2. **A desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$.** We consider the action of $\mathbf{P}$ on $G \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ given by

$$p.(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \left(gp^{-1}, p(x_1), \ldots, p(x_k)\right).$$

Let $\mu'_k$ be the morphism from $G \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ to $\mathfrak{g}^k$ defined by

$$\mu'_k(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k) = (g(x_1), \ldots, g(x_k))$$

and let $\mu_k$ be the morphism from $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to $\mathfrak{g}^k$ defined through the quotient by $\mu'_k$. Let

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k)} := \left\{(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathfrak{g}^k \mid \exists g \in G \text{ such that } (g(x_1), \ldots, g(x_k)) \in \mathfrak{p}^k\right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is the image of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ by $\mu_k$.

**Proposition 9.** *Let $k \geqslant 2$, the variety $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ and $\mu_k$ is the desingularization morphism. The subvariety $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^k$ is closed, but it is not normal.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2, $\mu_k$ is a projective morphism and $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{g}^k$ since $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is the image of $G \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ by $\mu'_k$.

Since $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$, $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-2} \cap \mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ be in $\Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-2} \cap \mathfrak{p}^{(k)}$. Suppose that $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in (g(\mathfrak{p}))^k$ for some $g \in G$. By Corollary 4, $V_{x_1,x_2}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $g(\mathfrak{p})$ and by Corollary 6, $V_{x_1,x_2}$ contains $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $g(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$. Hence $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is contained in $g(\mathfrak{p})$. Then, by Proposition 8, $g(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Hence, for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-2} \cap \mathcal{P}^{(k)}$, $\mid \mu_k^{-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \mid = 1$, Whence $\mu_k$ is a birational morphism.

Let $x$ be in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and let $g$ be in $G$. Then

$$g(x) \in \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow g^{-1}(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow g \in N_G(\mathfrak{h})\,\mathbf{P}.$$

According to Lemma 7, (ii), $N_G(\mathfrak{h})\,\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{P} = W/W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ so that $\mid \mu_k^{-1}(x, 0, \ldots, 0) \mid > 1$. Since $\mu_k$ is proper and birational, if $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ would be normal, then by Zariski's main Theorem [8], a finite fiber of $\mu_k$ would have cardinality 1. So $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ is not normal. □

3.3. Let $\mathfrak{l}//\mathbf{L}$ be the categorical quotient of $\mathfrak{l}$ by $\mathbf{L}$. Let $\chi_0$ be the closed subvariety of $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\Bbbk[\chi_0] = S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{S(\mathfrak{h})^W} S(\mathfrak{h})$ and let $\chi$ be equal to $\chi_0//W_{\mathfrak{l}}$. For $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, let $\widetilde{x} \in \mathfrak{l}$ such that $x - \widetilde{x} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and let $\bar{x}$ be the image of $\widetilde{x}$ in $\mathfrak{l}//\mathbf{L}$.

**Lemma 10.** *(i) Let $x$ and $x'$ be in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}}$. If $\left(x', \bar{x'}\right)$ is in $G.(x, \bar{x})$, then $x'$ is in $\mathbf{P}(x)$.*

*(ii) For $x$ in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}}$, $G^x$ is contained in $\mathbf{P}$.*

*(iii) The map*

$$\begin{array}{rcc} \theta : \mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}} & \longrightarrow & \chi \\ (g, x) & \longmapsto & (g(x), \bar{x}) \end{array}$$

*is an isomorphism onto an open subset of $\chi$.*

*Proof.* (i) Let $x$ and $x'$ be in $\mathfrak{p}_{\rm reg}$ such that $\left(x', \bar{x'}\right) \in G.\left(x, \bar{x}\right)$ and let $x = x_s + x_n$ and $x' = x'_s + x'_n$ be the Jordan decomposition of $x$ and $x'$ respectively. Since $\bar{x} = \bar{x'}$, there exists $l$ in $\mathbf{L}$ such that $\tilde{x}_s = l\left(\tilde{x'}_s\right)$, where $\tilde{x}_s$ and $\tilde{x'}_s$ are the semisimple components of $\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{x'}$ respectively. Then we can suppose that $\tilde{x}_s = \tilde{x'}_s$. The semisimple components $x_s$ and $x'_s$ of $x$ and $x'$ are conjugate under $\mathbf{P}$ since they are conjugate to $\tilde{x}_s$ under $\mathbf{P}$. Then there exist $p$ and $p'$ in $\mathbf{P}$ such that $p\left(x_s\right) = p'\left(x'_s\right) \in \mathfrak{h}$. Since $p\left(x_s\right)$ is a semisimple element, $\mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$ is a reductive algebra. Then $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$ is a parabolic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$, since it contains the Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$ of $\mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$. Then $p\left(x_n\right)$ and $p'\left(x'_n\right)$ are regular nilpotent elements in $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}$, hence they are conjugate under the parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{Q}$ of $G^{p(x_s)}$ of Lie algebra ad$\left(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{p(x_s)}\right)$. Hence $p\left(x\right)$ and $p'\left(x'\right)$ are conjugate under $\mathbf{P}$.

(ii) By [7] Proposition 14, $G^x$ is connex since $x$ is regular . Moreover $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is contained in $\mathfrak{p}$ since $x$ is in $\mathfrak{p}$. Hence $G^x$ is contained in $\mathbf{P}$.

(iii) Let $(g, x)$ and $(g', x')$ be in $\mathbf{P}_{-,\rm u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\rm reg}$ such that $\theta\left(g, x\right) = \theta\left(g', x'\right)$. By (i), there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $x' = p\left(x\right)$, then $g^{-1}g'p$ is in $G^x$. Then, by (ii), $g^{-1}g'$ is in $\mathbf{P}_{-,\rm u} \cap \mathbf{P} = \{1_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$. Whence $(g, x) = (g', x')$. Since $\chi$ is the categorical quotient of the variety $\chi_0$ by the finite subset $W_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and since $\chi_0$ is normal, by [3] Lemma 3.1, $\chi$ is a normal variety. Then, by Zariski's Main Theorem [8], $\theta$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbf{P}_{-,\rm u} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\rm reg}$ onto an open subset of $\chi$. □

**Corollary 11.** *There exists a birational morphism $\vartheta$ from $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}$ to $\chi$ such that $\mu_1$ is the compound of $\vartheta$ and the canonical projection from $\chi$ to $\mathfrak{g}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\vartheta'$ be the morphism from $G \times \mathfrak{p}$ to $\chi$ defined by $\vartheta'\left(g, x\right) = \left(g\left(x\right), \bar{x}\right)$ and let $\vartheta$ be the morphism from $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}$ to $\chi$ defined by $\vartheta'$ through the quotient. Let $(x, \bar{x})$ be in $\chi$ such that $x$ in $\mathfrak{p}_{\rm reg}$ and let $(g_1, x_1)$ be in $G \times \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\vartheta'\left(g_1, x_1\right) = \left(x, \bar{x}\right)$. Then $\left(g_1\left(x_1\right), \overline{x_1}\right) = \left(x, \bar{x}\right)$ and $\left(x_1, \overline{x_1}\right) \in G.\left(x, \bar{x}\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 10 (i), there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $x_1 = p\left(x\right)$. So $g_1 p\left(x\right) = x$ and $g_1 p \in G^x$. By Lemma 10 (ii), $g_1 \in \mathbf{P}$ since $x \in \mathfrak{p}_{\rm reg}$. Hence the restriction of $\vartheta$ to $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\rm reg}$ is injective, whence $\vartheta$ is birational since it is surjective. □

3.4. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_k : G \times \mathfrak{p}^k &\to \chi^k \\ \left(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k\right) &\mapsto \left(g\left(x_1\right), \ldots, g\left(x_k\right), \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{x}_i$ is the image of $\widetilde{x_i}$ in $\mathfrak{l}//\mathbf{L}$. Denote by $\sigma_k$ the morphism from $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ to $\chi^k$ defined through the quotient by $\sigma'_k$. Let $\chi^{(k)}$ be the image of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ by $\sigma_k$ and let ${\rm pr}_{1,k}$ be the canonical projection from $\chi^k$ onto $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$.

**Proposition 12.** *(i) The varity $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a desingularization of $\chi^{(k)}$ of morphism $\sigma_k$.*

*(ii)For $x = \left(x_1, \ldots, x_k, y_1, \ldots, y_k\right) \in \chi^{(k)}$ such that $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\rm reg}$ for some $i$ , the fiber of $\sigma_k$ at $x$ has one element.*

*Proof.* (i) Since $\mu_k = {\rm pr}_{1,k} \circ \sigma_k$ and since $\mu_k$ is a projective and birational morphism, $\sigma_k$ is projective and birational. Then $\sigma_k$ is a desingularization of $\chi^{(k)}$ since $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ is a smooth variety.

(ii) Let $x$ be in $\chi^{(k)}$ such that the first component of ${\rm pr}_{1,k}\left(x\right)$ is in $\mathfrak{g}_{\rm reg}$. Let $\overline{\left(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k\right)}$ and $\overline{\left(g', x'_1, \ldots, x'_k\right)}$ be in $\sigma_k^{-1}\left(x\right)$ and let $\left(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k\right)$ and $\left(g', x'_1, \ldots, x'_k\right)$

be in $G \times \mathfrak{p}^k$ representatives of $\overline{(g, x_1, \ldots, x_k)}$ and $\overline{(g', x'_1, \ldots, x'_k)}$ respectively. So

$$(g(x_1), \overline{x_1}) = \left(g'(x'_1), \overline{x'_1}\right),$$

then

$$(x_1, \overline{x_1}) \in G.\left(x'_1, \overline{x'_1}\right).$$

Hence, by Lemma 10 (i), $x'_1 \in \mathbf{P}(x_1)$. Then there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$, such that

$$g(x_1) = g'p(x_1).$$

So

$$g^{-1}g'p \in G^{x_1},$$

then by Lemma 10 (ii),

$$g^{-1}g'p \in \mathbf{P}$$

since $x_1 \in \mathfrak{p}_{\text{reg}}$. Hence $g^{-1}g' \in \mathbf{P}$ and $g(\mathfrak{p}) = g'(\mathfrak{p})$, whence the assertion since $\sigma_k$ is $\mathfrak{S}_k$-equivariant. □

**Corollary 13.** *The variety $\chi^{(k)}$ is an irreducible component of the inverse image of $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ in $\chi^{(k)}$.*

*Proof.* Let $\pi$ be the canonical projection from $\chi^k$ to $\mathfrak{g}^k$. Since $\chi_0 \to \mathfrak{g}$ is finite, $\chi \to \mathfrak{g}$ and $\pi$ are finite morphism. So $\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{P}^{(k)}\right)$, $\chi^{(k)}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}$ have the same dimension, whence the corollary since $\chi^{(k)}$ is irreducible as an image of an irreducible variety. □

**Proposition 14.** *Let $\Phi$ be the morphism from $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{l}^k]^{\mathbf{L}^k}$ to $\Bbbk[\chi^{(k)}]$ defined by*

$$\Phi(P)(x_1, \ldots, x_k, \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}) = P(\overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}),$$

*where $P \in \Bbbk[\mathfrak{l}^k]^{\mathbf{L}^k}$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_k, \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}) \in \chi^{(k)}$. Then $\Phi$ is an isomorphism from $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{l}^k]^{\mathbf{L}^k}$ onto $\Bbbk[\chi^{(k)}]^G$.*

*Proof.* Clearly the image of $\Phi$ is contained in $\Bbbk[\chi^{(k)}]^G$ and $\Phi$ is injective. Let $Y_k$ be equal $\sigma'_k\left(\{1\} \times \mathfrak{p}^k\right)$. Let $P$ be in $\Bbbk[\chi^{(k)}]^G$ and let $\overline{P}$ be in $\Bbbk[\mathfrak{l}^k]^{\mathbf{L}^k}$ defined by

$$\overline{P}(\overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}) = P(x_1, \ldots, x_k, \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}),$$

where $(x_1, \ldots, x_k, \overline{x_1}, \ldots, \overline{x_k}) \in \chi^{(k)}$. So

$$\left(P - \Phi\left(\overline{P}\right)\right)_{|Y_k} = 0.$$

Hence

$$P = \Phi\left(\overline{P}\right)$$

since $\chi^{(k)} = G.Y_k$, whence $\Phi$ is bijective. □

3.5. We recall that $\theta$ is the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}} & \longrightarrow & \chi \\ (g,x) & \longmapsto & (g(x), \bar{x}) . \end{array}$$

**Proposition 15.** *Let*

$$W_k := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_k, \overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_k}) \in \chi^{(k)} \mid \exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{reg}} \right\} .$$

*(i) The subset $W_k$ is a smooth open subset of $\chi^{(k)}$.*

*(ii) The codimensions of $\chi^{(k)} \setminus W_k$ in $\chi^{(k)}$ and of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \left(\mathfrak{p}^k \setminus \mathrm{pr}_{1,k}(W_k)\right)$ in $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k$ are at least $k$.*

*(iii) The restriction of $\sigma_k$ to $\sigma_k^{-1}(W_k)$ is an isomorphism onto $W_k$.*

*Proof.* (i) Let $W'_k$ be the inverse image of $\theta(\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}})$ by the projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \chi^{(k)} & \longrightarrow & \chi \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, \overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_k}) & \longmapsto & (x_1, \overline{y_1}) . \end{array}$$

By Lemma 10 (iii), the image of $\theta$ is an open set of $\chi$. Then $W'_k$ is an open subset of $\chi^{(k)}$.

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be in $\mathfrak{p}^k$ and let $g$ be in $G$ such that $(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k), \overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_k})$ is in $W'_k$. Then $x_1$ is in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and for some $g'$ in $\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}$ and for some $x'_1$ in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}}$

$$g.(x_1, \overline{x_1}) = g'.\left(x'_1, \overline{x'_1}\right) .$$

By Lemma 10 (i), there exists $p \in \mathbf{P}$ such that $x'_1 = p(x_1)$. So $g^{-1}g'p$ is in $G^{x_1}$, since $G^{x_1} \subset \mathbf{P}$ by Lemma 10 (ii). Hence $g \in \mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}\mathbf{P}$. As a result, the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} & \longrightarrow & W'_k \\ (g, x_1, \dots, x_k) & \longmapsto & (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_k), \overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_k}) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism whose inverse is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W'_k & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}} \times \mathfrak{p}^{k-1} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_k, \overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_k}) & \longmapsto & \left(q, z, q^{-1}(x_2), \dots, q^{-1}(x_k)\right) , \end{array}$$

with $q$ and $z$ are the first and the second components of $\theta^{-1}(x_1, \overline{y_1})$. As a result, $W'_k$ and $G.W'_k$ are smooth open subsets of $\chi^{(k)}$, whence the assertion since $\chi^{(k)}$ is $\mathfrak{S}_k$-invariant.

(ii) By definition, $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \left(\mathfrak{p}^k \setminus \mathrm{pr}_{1,k}(W_k)\right)$ is contained in $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}})^k$ and $\chi^{(k)} \setminus W_k$ is contained in the image of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}})^k$ by $\sigma_k$. Then

$$\begin{gathered} \dim \chi^{(k)} \setminus W_k \leqslant \dim G \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}})^k \leqslant k \dim \mathfrak{p} + \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}} - k \\ \dim G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \left(\mathfrak{p}^k \setminus \mathrm{pr}_{1,k}(W_k)\right) \leqslant \dim G \times_{\mathbf{P}} (\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{reg}})^k \leqslant k \dim \mathfrak{p} + \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}} - k, \end{gathered}$$

whence the assertion since $\dim G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}^k = \dim \mathcal{P}^{(k)} = k \dim \mathfrak{p} + \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ .

(iii) By Proposition 12 (ii), the restriction of $\sigma_k$ to $\sigma_k^{-1}(W_k)$ is bijective. Whence the assertion by Zariski Main Theorem [8] since $W_k$ is a smooth open subset of $\chi^{(k)}$ by (i). □

## 4. On the variety $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$

Recall that $\mu_k$ is the morphism from $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}^k$ to $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by the map

$$(g,x_1,\ldots,x_k)\mapsto(g(x_1),\ldots,g(x_k))$$

from $G\times\mathfrak{p}^k$ to $\mathfrak{g}^k$ through the quotient. Let $\tau_k$ be the restriction of $\mu_k$ to $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ and let

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}:=\left\{(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\in\mathfrak{g}^k\mid\exists g\in G\text{ such that }(g(x_1),\ldots,g(x_k))\in\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\right\}.$$

4.1. Let $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ and $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))$ be the fields of the rational functions of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ respectively and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the integral closure of $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ in $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$.

**Lemma 16.** *The morphism $\tau_1$ is a projective morphism. Moreover, $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ is an algebraic extension of finite degree of $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))$.*

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2, $\tau_1$ is a projective morphism. Since $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ is the image of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ by $\tau_1$, $\tau_1$ induces an embedding from $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))$ into $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$. Hence $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ is an algebraic extension of $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))$ of finite degree since $\dim G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}=\dim G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$. □

Let $X=Spec\mathcal{A}$ and let $\alpha$ be the morphism from $X$ to $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ such that its comorphism is the canonical injection from $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ into $\mathcal{A}$.

**Proposition 17.** *There exists a unique morphism $\tau_X$ from $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ to $X$ such that $\tau_1=\alpha\circ\tau_X$. Moreover, $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is a desingularization of $X$ of morphism $\tau_X$.*

*Proof.* The variety $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is a smooth variety since it is a vector bundle over the smooth variety $G/\mathbf{P}$. Identify $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))$ with a subfield of $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ by the comorphism of $\tau_1$. Let $U$ be an affine open subset of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$. Then $\Bbbk[U]$ contains $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ and the comorphism of the restriction map of $\tau_1$ to $U$ is the canonical injection of $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ in $\Bbbk[U]$. Since $U$ is a smooth variety, it is normal and $\Bbbk[U]$ is integrally closed in $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$. Hence $\Bbbk[U]$ contains $\mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a morphism $\tau_{X,U}$ from $U$ to $X$ such that its comorphism is the canonical injection of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\Bbbk[U]$. For $U'$ an affine open subset of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$, the restrictions of $\tau_{X,U}$ and $\tau_{X,U'}$ on $U\cap U'$ are equal since they have the same comorphism. Hence there exists a morphism $\tau_X$ from $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ to $X$ which extends $\tau_{X,U}$ for all affine open subset $U$ and satisfies the equality $\tau_1=\alpha\circ\tau_X$. The morphism $\tau_X$ is unique since its comorphism is the canonical injection from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$. Since $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ is the fraction field of $\mathcal{A}$, $\tau_X$ is birational. Moreover, since $\tau_1$ is a projective morphism, $\tau_X$ is too, whence the proposition. □

Denote by $\kappa$ the quotient map from $G\times\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ to $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ and denote by $\iota$ the morphism from $\{1\}\times\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ to $X$ defined by $\iota(1,x)=\tau_X\circ\kappa(1,x)$. Let $X'$ be the image of $\{1\}\times\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}$ by $\iota$ and let $\delta$ be the morphism from $\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}\times X'$ to $X$ defined by $\delta(p,x)=p.x$.

**Proposition 18.** *(i) For $x\in\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}$, the stabilizer of $\iota(1,x)$ in $G$ equals $\mathbf{P}\cap G^x$.*
*(ii) The morphism $\delta$ is an isomorphism onto an open subset of $X$.*
*(iii) Let $g$ be in $G$ and let $x$ be in $X'$. If $g(x)$ is in $X'$, then $g$ is in $\mathbf{P}$.*

*Proof.* (i) Let $x$ be in $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}$. Then, by [4] Theorem 7.1.1, $G^x$ and $\mathbf{P}\cap G^x$ have the same identity component. Then $\mathbf{P}\cap G^x$ is a subgroup of finite index of $G^{\iota(1,x)}$ and $\Bbbk(G/\mathbf{P}\cap G^x)$ is an algebraic extension $\Bbbk\left(G/G^{\iota(1,x)}\right)$ of degree the index of

$\mathbf{P}\cap G^x$ in $G^{\iota(1,x)}$. Moreover, $\Bbbk\left(G/G^{\iota(1,x)}\right)$ is an algebraic extension of finite degree of a transcendental extension of $\Bbbk$. Since $\tau_X$ is a birational morphism and since $G.\iota(1,x)$ is an open subset of $X$, $\Bbbk\left(G/G^{\iota(1,x)}\right)$ and $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ are isomorphic. Since $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathbf{P}(x)$ is an open subset of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$, $\Bbbk(G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ and $\Bbbk(G/\mathbf{P}\cap G^x)$ are isomorphic. So that $\Bbbk\left(G/G^{\iota(1,x)}\right)$ and $\Bbbk(G/\mathbf{P}\cap G^x)$ are isomorphic. Hence $G^{\iota(1,x)}=\mathbf{P}\cap G^x$.

(ii) Let $(p_1,x_1)$ and $(p_2,x_2)$ be in $\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}\times X'$ such that $p_1.x_1=p_2.x_2$ and let $x_1'$ and $x_2'$ be in $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}$ such that $\iota(1,x_1')=x_1$ and $\iota(1,x_2')=x_2$. Let $q$ be in $\mathbf{P}$ such that $x_2'=q(x_1')$. Then $x_2=q.x_1$. So

$$\begin{array}{rcll} p_1.x_1 & = & p_2.x_2=p_2q.x_1 & \\ \Rightarrow\ p_1^{-1}p_2q & \in & G^{x_1}=G^{\iota\left(1,x_1'\right)} & =\mathbf{P}\cap G^{x_1'}, \end{array}$$

by (i). So

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p_1^{-1}p_2 & \in & \mathbf{P}\cap\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \quad = \quad \{1_{\mathfrak{g}}\} \\ \Rightarrow\ p_1=p_2 & \Rightarrow & x_1=x_2. \end{array}$$

Hence $\delta$ is an injective morphism. Since $X$ is a normal variety by definition, by Zariski's Main Theorem [8], $\delta$ is an isomorphism onto an open subset of $X$.

(iii) Let $g\in G$ and let $x\in X'$ such that $g(x)\in X'$. Then there exist

$$x_1,\ y_1\in\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}\text{ and }p\in\mathbf{P}$$

Such that

$$x=\iota(1,x_1),\quad g.x=\iota(1,y_1)\quad\text{and}\quad y_1=p(x_1).$$

So

$$g.\iota(1,x_1)=g.x=\iota(1,y_1)=p.\iota(1,x_1)$$

and then $p^{-1}g\in G^{\iota(1,x_1)}$. Hence, by (i), $g\in\mathbf{P}$. □

Let $\tau_{X^k}$ be the morphism from $G^k\times_{\mathbf{P}^k}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ to $X^k$ defined by

$$\tau_{X^k}\overline{(x_1,\ldots,x_k)}=(\tau_X(x_1),\ldots,\tau_X(x_k)),$$

let $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$ be the restriction of $\tau_{X^k}$ to $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ and let $X^{(k)}$ be the image of $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$.

**Proposition 19.** *Let*

$$V_k=\left\{(x_1,\ldots x_k)\in X^{(k)}\mid\exists g\in G\text{ and }i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}\text{ such that }g(x_i)\in\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}(X')\right\}.$$

*(i) The subset $V_k$ is a smooth open subset of $X^{(k)}$.*

*(ii) There exists a canonical finite surjective morphism $\varphi$ from $X^{(k)}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$.*

*(iii) The codimension of $X^{(k)}\backslash V_k$ in $X^{(k)}$ and the codimension of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\setminus\varphi(V_k)\right)$ in $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ are at least $k$.*

*(iv) The restriction of $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$ to $\tau_{X^{(k)}}^{-1}(V_k)$ is an isomorphism onto $V_k$.*

*Proof.* (i) Set $V_k':=\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}(X')\times X^{k-1}\cap X^{(k)}$ and

$$V':=\left\{(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\in(\iota(\{1\}\times\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))^k\mid\alpha(x_1)\in\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}\right\}.$$

By Proposition 18 (ii), $V_k'$ is an open subset of $X^{(k)}$. Let $g\in G$ and $x_1\in X'$ such that $g.x_1\in\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}(X')$. Then there exist

$$q\in\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}},\quad y_1\in X',\quad x_1',y_1'\in\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}\quad\text{and}\quad p\in\mathbf{P}$$

such that

$$g(x_1)=q(y_1),\quad x_1=\iota(1,x_1'),\quad y_1=\iota(1,y_1')\quad\text{and}\quad y_1'=p(x_1')\ .$$

So

$$g.\iota\left(1,x_1'\right)=g.x_1=q.\iota\left(1,y_1'\right)=qp.\iota\left(1,x_1'\right)$$

and $p^{-1}q^{-1}g\in G^{\iota\left(1,x_1'\right)}$. Hence, by Proposition 18 (i), $g\in\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}\mathbf{P}$. As a result

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}\times V' & \longrightarrow & V_k' \\ (g,x_1,\ldots,x_k) & \longmapsto & (g.x_1,\ldots,g.x_k)\end{array}$$

is an isomorphism whose inverse is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}V_k' & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}\times V' \\ (x_1,\ldots,x_k) & \longmapsto & \left(g_1,y_1,g_1^{-1}.x_2,\ldots,g_1^{-1}.x_k\right),\end{array}$$

where $(g_1,y_1)=\delta^{-1}(x_1)$. Hence $V_k'$ and $G.V_k'$ are smooth open subsets of $X^{(k)}$, whence the assertion since $X^{(k)}$ is $\mathfrak{S}_k$-invariant.

(ii) The canonical projection $\alpha$ from $X$ to $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$ induces a morphism $\varphi$ from $X^{(k)}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ such that $\tau_k=\varphi\circ\tau_{X^{(k)}}$. Since $\alpha$ is a finite morphism, $\varphi$ is too and since $\tau_k$ is surjective, $\varphi$ is too.

(iii) By definition of $V_k$, $X^{(k)}\backslash V_k$ is contained in the image of $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\setminus\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}'\right)^k$ by $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$ and $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\setminus\varphi\left(V_k\right)\right)$ is contained in $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\setminus\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}'\right)^k$. Hence

$$\begin{gathered}\dim X^{(k)}\setminus V_k\leqslant\dim G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\setminus\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}'\right)^k\leqslant(k+1)\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}-k\\ \dim G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}^k\setminus\varphi\left(V_k\right)\right)\leqslant\dim G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\left(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}\setminus\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}'\right)^k\leqslant(k+1)\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}-k,\end{gathered}$$

whence the assertion since $\dim G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}=(k+1)\dim\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$.

(iv) Let $(1,x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ and $(g,y_1,\ldots,y_k)$ be in $G\times\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ such that $x_1$ and $y_1$ are in $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}'$ and

$$\tau_{X^{(k)}}\overline{(1,x_1,\ldots,x_k)}=\tau_{X^{(k)}}\overline{(g,y_1,\ldots,y_k)}\in V_k,$$

where $\overline{(1,x_1,\ldots,x_k)}$ and $\overline{(g,y_1,\ldots,y_k)}$ are the images of $(1,x_1,\ldots,x_k)$ and $(g,y_1,\ldots,y_k)$ in $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ by the quotient map. Then there exists $p\in\mathbf{P}$ such that $y_1=p\left(x_1\right)$. So

$$\tau_X\circ\kappa\left(1,x_1\right)=\tau_X\circ\kappa\left(g,y_1\right)=g.\tau_X\circ\kappa\left(1,y_1\right)=gp.\tau_X\circ\kappa\left(1,x_1\right),$$

then

$$\iota\left(1,x_1\right)=gp.\iota\left(1,x_1\right)\in X'.$$

So, by Proposition 18 (iii), $g\in\mathbf{P}$ and $\overline{(1,x_1,\ldots,x_k)}=\overline{(g,y_1,\ldots,y_k)}$. Hence the restriction of $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$ to $\tau_{X^{(k)}}^{-1}\left(V_k\right)$ is injective since $V_k$ is $\mathfrak{S}_k$-invariant, whence the assertion by Zariski Main Theorem [8] since $V_k$ is smooth open subset of $X^{(k)}$ by (i). □

**Proposition 20.** *The variety $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ is a desingularization of $X^{(k)}$ of morphism $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$.*

*Proof.* Since $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}^k{}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is a vector bundle on the smooth variety $G/\mathbf{P}$, it is a smooth variety. According to Lemma 2, $\tau_k$ is a projective morphism then $\tau_{X^{(k)}}$ is too since $\tau_k=\varphi\circ\tau_{X^{(k)}}$. Hence, by Proposition 19 (iv), $G\times_{\mathbf{P}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ is a desingularization of $X^{(k)}$. □

4.2. Let $x$ be in $\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}$ and let $(G^x)_0$ be the neutral component of $G^x$. The canonical map $G/G^x \to G/(G^x)_0$ induces an embedding of $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ into $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the integral closure of $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ in $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)$.

**Proposition 21.** *(i) The field $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)$ is a Galois extension of the field $\Bbbk(G/G^x)$ of Galois group $\Gamma = G^x/(G^x)_0$.*

*(ii) The subalgebra $\mathcal{C}$ is invariant under the action of $\Gamma$ and $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})_{\mathrm{n}}]$ is the set of fixed points by $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$, where $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the normalization of $G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$.*

*Proof.* (i) Since $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)^{\Gamma} = \Bbbk(G/G^x)$, $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)$ is a Galois extension of Galois groupe $\Gamma$.

(ii) Since $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})]$ is invariant under the action of $\Gamma$, $\mathcal{C}$ is too. Moreover, $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})_{\mathrm{n}}]$ is contained in $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})) = \Bbbk(G/G^x)$ the set of fixed points of $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $a$ be in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $a$ is a fixed point by $\Gamma$. Then $a$ is in $\Bbbk(G/G^x)$. Hence $a$ is in $\Bbbk[G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})_{\mathrm{n}}]$ since it is in $\mathcal{C}$, whence the assertion. □

**Proposition 22.** *(i) The field $\Bbbk\left(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\right)$ is the field of rational fractions $\Bbbk(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$ over $\Bbbk(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$, where $m = \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^{k-1}$. Moreover, it is a subfield of $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$.*

*(ii) The field $\Bbbk\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)$ is the set of fixed points of $\Gamma$ in $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$ under the trivial extension of its action on $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)$.*

*Proof.* (i) Since $\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}}$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $G/\mathbf{P}$ and since $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ is a vector bundle over $G/\mathbf{P}$, $\mathbf{P}_{-,\mathrm{u}} \times \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$. Moreover, $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k$ is a vector bundle over $G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}$ whose fibers are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^{k-1}$. Hence $\Bbbk\left(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^k\right)$ equals $\Bbbk(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$, where $m = \dim \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}^{k-1}$. So it is a subfield of $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$ since $\Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)$ is an extension of $\Bbbk(G \times_{\mathbf{P}} \mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})$.

(ii) Let $\pi$ be the first projection from $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)} \cap G(\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}}) \times \mathfrak{g}^{k-1}$ to $G(\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}})$, let $x_1$ be in $G(\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}})$ and let $z$ be in $\pi^{-1}(x_1)$ such that it is a smooth point of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ and $\pi$ is smooth at $z$. Then there exists a smooth affine open subset $O$ containing $z$ in $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$ such that $\pi_O$ the restriction of $\pi$ to $O$ is a submersion onto an open subset of $G(\mathfrak{p}'_{\mathrm{u}})$. Hence the fiber of $\pi_O$ at $\pi(z)$ is an open subset of an affine space. So the restrictions of the affine coordinates $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$ of this space to $\pi_O^{-1}(\pi(z))$ are the restrictions of regular functions on $O$ which we denote again $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$. Let $\psi$ be the morphism defined by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \psi : & O & \longrightarrow & \pi(O) \times \Bbbk^m \\ & y & \longmapsto & (\pi(y), \tau_1(y), \ldots, \tau_m(y)) . \end{array}$$

Then $\psi$ is a submersion at $z$. So, for all $y$ in an open subset $O'$ of $O$, containing $z$, the intersection of the kernels of the differential at $y$ of the restrictions of $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m$ to $F_{\pi(y)}$ the fiber of $\pi$ at $\pi(y)$ equals $\{0\}$ so that $\psi$ is locally injective on $F_{\pi(y)}$. Let

$$\Delta := \{(x', x'') \in O' \times O' \mid \psi(x') = \psi(x'')\}$$

and let $\Delta_{O'}$ be the diagonal of $O'$. Hence the dimension of $\Delta \cap F_{\pi(y)} \times F_{\pi(y')}$ is zero, for $(y, y')$ in $\Delta$. Then the dimensions of $\Delta$ and of $O$ are equal. Hence $\Delta_{O'}$ is an irreducible component of $\Delta$. Then there exists an open subset $O''$ of $O$ such that the restriction of $\psi$ to $O''$ is injective. Hence $\Bbbk\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right) = \Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}}))(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_m)$, whence the assertion since $\Bbbk(G(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathrm{u}})) = \Bbbk(G/(G^x)_0)^{\Gamma}$. □

Let $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ be the integral closure of $\Bbbk\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right]$ in $\Bbbk\left(G/\left(G^{x}\right)_{0}\right)[\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_m]$ and let $\left(\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}},\nu_k\right)$ be the normalization of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}$.

**Proposition 23.** *(i) The algebra* $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ *is the set of fixed points of* $\Gamma$ *in* $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$.

*(ii) The algebra* $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ *is a direct factor of* $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ *as a* $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$*-module.*

*Proof.* (i) Since $\Bbbk\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right]$ is invariant under the action of $\Gamma$, $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ is too. Moreover, $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ is contained in $\Bbbk\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)$ the set of fixed points of $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$. Let $a$ be in $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ such that $a$ is a fixed point by $\Gamma$. Then $a$ is in $\Bbbk\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)$ and it verify an equation of integral dependence on $\Bbbk\left[\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right]$. Hence $a$ is in $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$, whence the assertion.

(ii) Let $\Phi$ be the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\Phi: & \mathcal{C}^{(k)} & \longrightarrow & \Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \\ & c & \longmapsto & c^{\#}=\frac{1}{|\Gamma|}\sum\limits_{\gamma\in\Gamma}\gamma.c\end{array} .$$

Then $\Phi$ is a projection from $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ onto $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ by (i). Since $(bc)^{\#}=bc^{\#}$, for $b\in\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ and $c\in\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$, $\mathcal{C}^{(k)}$ is the direct sum as $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$-module of $\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ and $\mathrm{M}_k:=\mathrm{Ker}\Phi$. □

**Proposition 24.** *There exists a pure morphism* $\psi$ *in the sense of* [2] *from* $X^{(k)}$ *to* $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ *such that* $\varphi=\nu_k\circ\psi$.

*Proof.* Since $X^{(k)}$ is normal and since $\varphi$ is surjective, there exists a morphism $\psi$ from $X^{(k)}$ to $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ such that $\varphi=\nu_k\circ\psi$ ([6], ch. II, Ex. 3.8). By Proposition 23,

$$\Bbbk\left[X^{(k)}\right]=\Bbbk\left[\left(\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(k)}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right]\oplus\left(\mathrm{M}_k\cap\Bbbk\left[X^{(k)}\right]\right).$$

Hence $\psi$ is a pure morphism in the sense of [2]. □

## REFERENCES

[1] A.V. Bolsinov, *Commutative families of functions related to consistent Poisson brackets*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, **24** (1991), **n°1**, p. 253–274.

[2] J-F Boutot, *Singularités rationnelles et quotients par les groupes réductifs*, Inventiones Mathematicae, **88** (1987), p. 65–68.

[3] J-Y Charbonnel and M. Zaiter, *On the commuting variety of a reductive Lie algebra and other related varieties*, Preprint, arXiv:1204.0377v1 [math.RT].

[4] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold (1993), New York.

[5] J. Dixmier, *Champs de vecteurs adjoints sur les groupes et algèbres de Lie semisimples*, Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik, Band. **309** (1979), 183–190.

[6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, GTM 52, Springer.

[7] B. Kostant, *Lie group representations on polynomial rings*, American Journal of Mathematics, **85**, 1963, p. 327–404.

[8] D. Mumford, *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, N°1358 (1988), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, p.123–284.

[9] P. Tauvel and R.W.T. Yu, *Lie algebras and algebraic groups*, Monographs in Mathematics (2005), Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York.

[10] F.D. Veldkamp, *The center of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra in characteristic p*, Annales Scientifiques de L'Ecole Normale Supérieure 5 (1972) ,p. 217–240.

Université Paris 7 - CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Théorie des groupes, Case 7012, Bâtiment Chevaleret, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
*E-mail address*: `zaiter@math.jussieu.fr`